

## A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS

ROBERT HERMANN

### 1. Introduction

The following theorem is well known: There is a unique geodesic joining two points on a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature.

The main point of this paper is the following generalization.

**Theorem.** *Let  $N$  and  $B$  be minimal submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  whose sectional curvature is nonpositive. (If  $\dim N = \dim M - 1$ , it would suffice to know that  $M$  has nonpositive Ricci curvature.)*

*Suppose that:*

- a)  $N$  is oriented and finite with oriented boundary  $\partial N \subset B$ .
- b)  $B$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $M$ .
- c) Each point  $p$  of  $N$  can be joined to  $B$  by a geodesic, which is perpendicular to  $B$  at the end-point, and varies smoothly with  $p$ .

*Conclusion:*  $N \subset B$ .

The main tool is an integral-geometric inequality, which enables one to make various extensions of the main result, e.g., to the case where  $B$  is only a minimal submanifold of  $M$ , or where  $N$  is a manifold with singularities, e.g., a piece of an analytic subvariety of a Kähler manifold.

### 2. Proof of the theorem

Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold, and  $N$  and  $B$  submanifolds of  $M$ . (For notations not explained here, refer to [1] and [2].) Let  $\exp: T(M) \rightarrow M$  be the exponential map of the Riemannian structure, where  $T(M)$  is the tangent bundle of  $M$ . Suppose there exists a vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that:

- a) For  $p \in N$ ,  $\exp(X(p)) \in B$ .
- b) The geodesic  $t \rightarrow \exp(tX(p))$  is perpendicular to  $B$  at  $t = 1$ .

Let  $\| \cdot \|$  denote the norm on tangent vectors associated with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defining the Riemannian metric on  $M$ ,  $f(p) = \|X(p)\|^2$  for  $p \in N$ , and  $\Delta^N$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator, relative to the induced metric on  $N$ . Our

goal is first to find a convenient formula for  $\Delta^N f$ , and then to integrate it over  $N$ .

Let  $p$  be a point of  $N$ , and  $s \rightarrow \sigma(s)$  a geodesic of  $N$  starting at  $p$ . Construct the homotopy  $\delta(s, t) = \exp(tX(\sigma(s)))$ ,  $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} f(\sigma(s)) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \int_0^1 \langle \partial_t \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle dt \\
 (2.1) \qquad &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s \partial_t \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_t \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle dt = \langle \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\partial_t \delta(s, t)$  is the tangent vector to the curve  $u \rightarrow \delta(s, u)$  at  $u = t$ ,  $\partial_t \delta$  is the corresponding vector field along the homotopy  $\delta$ ,  $\partial_s \delta$  is defined similarly, and  $\nabla_s \partial_s \delta(s, t)$  is the covariant derivative (with respect to the Levi-Civita affine connection) of the vector field  $u \rightarrow \partial_s \delta(s, u)$  along the curve  $u \rightarrow \delta(s, u)$ . The rules of this formalism are given in more detail in [1] or [2]. For example, since each curve  $t \rightarrow \delta(s, t)$  is a geodesic, we have  $\nabla_t \partial_t \delta(s, t) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} f(\sigma(s)) &= \langle \nabla_s \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle - \langle \partial_s \delta, \nabla_s \partial_t \delta \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^1 \\
 (2.2) \qquad &= \langle \nabla_s \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \partial_s \delta, \nabla_s \partial_t \delta \rangle dt \\
 &= \langle \nabla_s \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s \partial_t \delta, \nabla_s \partial_t \delta \rangle dt \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 \langle \partial_s \delta, R(\partial_t \delta, \partial_s \delta)(\partial_t \delta) \rangle dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(,)(,)$  is the curvature tensor of  $M$ . The last term can be written as

$$\int_0^1 \|\partial_s \delta \wedge \partial_t \delta\|^2 K(\partial_t \delta, \partial_s \delta) dt,$$

where  $K(,)$  is the sectional curvature, and  $\|\partial_s \delta \wedge \partial_t \delta(s, t)\|^2$  is the square of the area of the parallelogram spanned by  $\partial_s \delta(s, t)$  and  $\partial_t \delta(s, t)$ . Let  $S_{(,)}^N(,)$  and  $S_{(,)}^B(,)$  be the second fundamental form of  $N$  and  $B$ . Write  $X = X' + X''$ , where  $X'$  is tangent, and  $X''$  perpendicular to  $N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \nabla_s \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle(0, 1) &= S_{\partial_t \delta(0, 1)}^B(\partial_s \delta(0, 1), \partial_s \delta(0, 1)), \\
 \langle \nabla_s \partial_s \delta, \partial_t \delta \rangle(0, 0) &= S_{X''(p)}^N(\sigma'(0), \sigma'(0)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} f(\sigma(s))|_{s=0} &= S_{\partial_t \delta(0, 1)}^B(\partial_s \delta(0, 1), \partial_s \delta(0, 1)) \\
 (2.3) \quad &- S_{X''(p)}^N(\sigma'(0), \sigma'(0)) - \int_0^1 \langle \nabla_s \partial_t \delta, \nabla_s \partial_t \delta \rangle dt \\
 &+ \int_0^1 \|\partial_s \delta \wedge \partial_t \delta\|^2 K(\partial_t \delta, \partial_s \delta) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us suppose that  $B$  is totally geodesic, and the sectional curvature of  $M$  is nonpositive. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} f(\sigma(s))|_{s=0} \leq S_{X''(p)}^N(\sigma'(0), \sigma'(0)).$$

Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  form an orthonormal basis of  $N_p$ . Let  $\sigma_a(s)$  be the geodesics of  $N$  beginning at  $p$  and tangent there to  $u_a$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_a \frac{d^2}{ds^2} f(\sigma_a(s))|_{s=0} \leq \sum_a S_{X''(p)}^N(u_a, u_a).$$

The left-hand side of this inequality is just  $\frac{1}{2} \Delta^N f(p)$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an orthonormal basis for vector fields on  $N$  so that at the boundary points,  $X_i(p)$  is the inward pointing normal to  $\partial N$ . Then, we have the basic inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta^N f \leq \sum_a S_{X''}^N(X_a, X_a).$$

The right-hand side is zero if  $N$  is a minimal submanifold of  $M$ . Integrate this over  $N$ . Green's formula gives

$$\int_N \nabla^N f = \int_{\partial N} X_1(f),$$

where the volume elements are assumed to be those defined by the induced Riemannian metric on  $N$  and  $\partial N$ .

(2.1) applies to calculate  $X_1(f)$ . In fact,  $X_1(f) = \langle X_1, X \rangle$ . Let us assume that  $\int_{\partial N} \langle X_1, X \rangle = 0$ , and  $N$  is a minimal submanifold of  $M$ , i.e., the trace of its second fundamental form is zero in every normal direction. (For example, if  $\partial N \subset B$ , as in the statement of Theorem 1, then  $X(p) = 0$  automatically.)

Thus, we have

$$\nabla_t \partial_s \delta = 0 = \nabla_s \partial_t \delta,$$

i.e.,  $X$  has zero covariant derivative at every point of  $N$  and in every direction tangential to  $N$ . In particular,  $\langle X, X \rangle = f$  is constant along  $N$ . We also have

$$\|\partial_s \delta \wedge \partial_t \delta\|^2 K(\partial_t \delta, \partial_s \delta) = 0 .$$

If  $N$  is a hypersurface, we have either  $N$  is totally geodesic, or  $X'' = 0$  on an open subset of  $N$ ; that open subset is a “focal submanifold” for the family  $(p, t) \rightarrow \exp(tX(p))$  of geodesics of  $N$ . At any rate, Theorem 1 is proved.

Final remarks on Theorem 1: If  $B$  is a closed submanifold of  $M$ , hypothesis  $b$ ) of Theorem 1 follows from the assumption that the curvature of  $N$  is nonpositive, and, say, an assumption that  $M$  is simply connected (see [1]).

### 3. Weakening the hypothesis

Let  $\delta_a(s, t) = \exp(tX(\sigma_a(s)))$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . Using (2.3) again and assuming that the curvature is nonpositive give

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f) \leq & \sum_a S_{\partial_t \delta_a(0, 1)}^B(\partial_s \delta_a(0, 1), \partial_s \delta_a(0, 1)) \\ (3.1) \quad & - \sum_a S_{X''(p)}^N(\sigma'_a(0), \sigma'_a(0)) . \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side vanishes, of course, if  $N$  is a minimal submanifold. The first term will also vanish if  $B$  is a minimal submanifold, providing that  $\partial_s \delta_1(0, 1), \dots, \partial_s \delta_n(0, 1)$  is a basis for the tangent space to  $B$ . This requires

$$(3.2) \quad \dim B = \dim N .$$

Now, if (3.2) is satisfied, and each point  $p \in N$  is not a focal point of  $B$  relative to the geodesic  $t \rightarrow \exp(tX(p))$ , then an orthonormal basis  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $N_p$  can be found so that  $\partial_s \delta_1(0, 1), \dots, \partial_s \delta_n(0, 1)$  is a basis of  $B_{\delta(0, 1)}$ . In this case the argument then goes through.

The argument also goes through if

$$(3.3) \quad S_{\partial_t \delta_a(0, 1)}^B(\partial_s \delta_a(0, 1), \partial_s \delta_a(0, 1)) \geq 0 ,$$

and  $N$  is a minimal submanifold. Now, (3.3) can be regarded as a “convexity” condition. The conclusion is that  $N$  cannot be completely on the “concave” side of  $B$ , if its boundary lies in  $B$ .

It is well known that complex-analytic submanifolds of Kähler manifolds are minimal submanifolds. One of the goals of minimal-submanifold theory is to understand whether or not facts known from algebraic geometry about algebraic varieties extend to general minimal submanifolds. This suggests that we investigate how singularities in  $N$  will affect the above arguments.

Suppose then that  $N^0$  is a closed subset of  $N$  such that  $N - N^0$  is a minimal submanifold, but that  $N^0$  has no points in common with  $\partial N$ . Let us suppose that  $N^0$  can be surrounded with "tube"  $T_\varepsilon$ , depending on a parameter  $\varepsilon$ , with boundary  $\partial T_\varepsilon$ , whose area goes to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let us apply these arguments to  $N - T_\varepsilon$  instead of  $N$ . When applying Stoke's theorem to  $\Delta^N f$ , we will have to take into account a term of the form:

$$\int_{\partial T_\varepsilon} \langle X_1, X \rangle,$$

where  $X_1$  is the unit normal to the boundary  $\partial T_\varepsilon$ . Note, however, that this does not depend on the derivative of  $X$ , as one would expect a priori. It is this simple fact that gives hope that the uniqueness proofs can be extended to manifolds with singularities.

The next situation to be considered should be that where  $N$  has constant positive curvature. However, the methods used here break down in this case.

### References

- [1] R. Hermann, *Focal points of closed submanifolds of Riemannian spaces*, Ned. Akad. Wet. 25 (1963) 613-628.
- [2] —, *Differential geometry and the calculus of variations*, Academic Press, New York, to appear.

STANFORD LINEAR ACCELERATOR CENTER  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ